

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/545,181	04/07/2000	Shojiro Miyake	040679/1047	1509	
75	12/05/2002				
Richard L Sch	waab		EXAMI	NER	
Foley & Lardner			TURNER, ARCHENE A		
	00 K Street N W Washington Harbour Suite 500 D Box 25696 TURNER, ARCHENE A				
Washington, De			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			1775	1.5	
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2002	12	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• '					\mathbb{X}	
		Application No.		Applicant(s)		
		09/545,181	.~	MIYAKE ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	. <u> </u>	
		Archene Turner		1775		
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	correspondence ad	dress	
A SHO THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min vill apply and will expire : , cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tin imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this or D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 (October 2002 .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-fi	nal.			
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowations of closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims				e merits is	
·	Claim(s) <u>2-8,<i>10-12 and 15-25</i> is/are pending i</u>	n the annlication				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17 and 22-25</u> is/are v	- •	nsideration			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	vididiawii iioiii oc	molderation.			
·	Claim(s) <u>2-8,10-12,15,16 and 18-21</u> is/are reje	cted				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	olcu.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment			
	on Papers	. ciodacii requirei	nont.			
9)□ T	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b)□ object	ed to by the Exa	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approve	d b)⊡ disappro	ved by the Examin	er.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office act	ion.			
12) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ved.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ved in Applicati	on No		
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•		application).	
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti				,	
Attachment		· -	J.			
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(Patent Application (PT		
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of	Paper No. 12	

Application/Control Number: 09/545,181

Art Unit: 1775

1. Newly submitted claim 22-25 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally elected for the following reasons: claims 22-25, are drawn to a process that was withdrawn in the original restriction requirement.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 22-25 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-8,10-12,15-16,18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Repenning et al (5,205,188) or Sumitomo (JP6-294307) in view of Sho (5,843,571).

The rejection is maintained for reasons of record in Paper No.8. Applicant's arguments filed 10-21-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant may not overcome a rejection by attacking the references individually when the rejection is based on the combination (*In re Young*, 159 USPQ 725 (CCPA 1970)). The rejection is based on the known use of carbon coating in internal combustion

Application/Control Number: 09/545,181

Art Unit: 1775

engines (Repenning et al or Sumitomo) and the known particulars of the carbon coating (Sho). The declaration provided does NOT overcome the art combination, and the rejection stands. The applicant has failed to provide evidence that the coating of Sho does not posses the claimed properties.

4. Claims 2-6,8,10-12,15-16,18-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Repenning et al (5,205,188) or Sumitomo (JP6-294307) in view of Dorfman et al.

The rejection is maintained for reasons of record in Paper No.8. Applicant's arguments filed 10-21-02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant may not overcome a rejection by attacking the references individually when the rejection is based on the combination (*In re Young, 159 USPQ 725 (CCPA 1970)*). The rejection is based on the known use of carbon coating in internal combustion engines (Repenning et al or Sumitomo) and the known particulars of the carbon coating (Dorfman). The declaration provided does NOT overcome the art combination, and the rejection stands. The applicant has failed to provide evidence that the coating of Dorfman does not posses the claimed properties. The applicant is reminded that the friction limitation is only in one claim and the absence of hydrocarbon plasma in an ion beam process does not predict the lack of hydrogen in the film. The specification explicitly says 'low hydrogen' and thus the rejection stands, especially since the presence of hydrogen is *optional* in Dorfman.

Art Unit: 1775

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry regarding this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Archene Turner, whose telephone number is (703) 308-4344. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday to Thursday from 8:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1700, Crystal Plaza 2, 8th floor, reception area. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 305-3599 (for official after final faxes) or (703) 305-5408 (for all other official faxes). This location should be used in all instances when faxing any correspondence to Art Unit 1775. Use of the Group 1700 center will facilitate rapid delivery of materials to Examiners in Art Unit 1775.

Application/Control Number: 09/545,181

Art Unit: 1775

Page 5

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

A. A. Turner Primary Examiner Group 1700